

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/085,804	02/27/2002	Ryoheita Hattori	JP920000391US1	8025
7590 . 09/13/2004			EXAMINER	
IBM Corporation			COLON, ROCIO	
Intellectual Property Law			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5600 Cottle Road (L2PA/0142)			ARTONI	TATER NOMBER
San Jose, CA 95193			2651	

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/085,804	HATTORI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rocio Colon	2651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe	ebruary 2002.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/27/04</u> .	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-192)				

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The word false on page 3, line 21 is referring to the "yes" output in Fig. 4, step 32, the common use of the word "false" is used for "no" and "true" for "yes".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 3 recites the limitations "said outermost periphery track" and "said track" in lines 10 and 11, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Objections

- 4. The term "false" in claim 1 is unclear, when referring to Fig. 4, step 32, the use of term "false" is unclear to define if the track is defective or not.
- 5. The word "recording" on claim 1, line 16, is unclear. The examiner understands that the claim refers to Figure 4, step 35, in that case the word "recording" should be marking.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,804

Art Unit: 2651

Claims 3 and 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ukani et al. (USPN 5,870,243).

Regarding claim 3, a rotation recording apparatus, comprising:

a rotary recording medium storing positional information recorded thereon and having concentric tracks formed thereon (Fig. 3, element 108);

a head used for reading/writing said positional information from/on said recording medium (Fig. 3, element 118 and column 4, line 8); and

a device used for controlling the relative position of said head with respect to said recording medium (column 4, lines 16-17),

wherein test pattern data is written on the surface of said recording medium, concretely at an outer periphery portion of said outermost periphery track or the boundary between said track and an adjacent track (Fig. 5, Bursts A and B and column 9, lines 20-21).

Regarding claim 4, Ukani et al. disclose the recording medium is provided with the following items on the surface;

a first pattern written between the center of said track and the center of an adjacent track at the same width as that of said track so as to obtain said positional information (Fig. 5, Burst A);

a second pattern written between the center of said track and the center of an adjacent track at the same width as that of said track in the opposite direction to that of said adjacent track so as to obtain said positional information (Fig. 5, Burst B);

Application/Control Number: 10/085,804 Page 4

Art Unit: 2651

a third pattern written fully in said track at the same width as that of said track so as to

obtain said positional information (Fig. 5, Burst C); and

a fourth pattern written fully in a track adjacent to said track at the same width as that of

said track so as to obtain said positional information (Fig. 5, Burst D).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1 and 2 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the claims objections set

forth in this Office action.

6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

·

controlling the head so as to be positioned at a boundary between an outer periphery portion of

The prior art of record taken alone or in combination fail to disclose the steps of

the track at the outermost periphery or the track and an adjacent track; recording test pattern data

in the outer periphery portion or at the boundary; a first decision step of deciding whether or not

the test pattern data is written normally; and marking two tracks adjacent to the outermost

periphery track or the boundary as defective tracks if they are not written normally.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rocio Colon whose telephone number is (703) 305-3947. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:00a.m.-6:30p.m.

Art Unit: 2651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on (703)305-4040. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rcv

August 30, 2004

SINH TRAN